CopyForward: Point-Set Matching for Predicting Patterns

Timothy de Reuse timothy.dereuse@mcgill.ca

Ichiro Fujinaga ichiro.fujinaga@mcgill.ca

Schulich School of Music École de musique Schulich **McGill**

Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Music Media and Technology



In popular music, future events can often be predicted by copying past events and translating them forward in time.





...So our predicted continuation will be whatever came after that section last time.

INTRO

- Task: given a *prime* of symbolic music, predict a continuation
- Our assumption: the continuation is likely to be a repetition of material in the prime
- Task reduces to finding a single translation vector that defines what material to copy to the end of the prime

METHOD

Look at the last few beats of the

RESULTS

- Tested on the Patterns for Prediction Development Dataset (PPDD)
- F1 scores for generated pitch-onset time pairs on 1000 randomly selected entries:
 - Monophonic: 0.493
 - Polyphonic: 0.445 \bullet
- This is only slightly better than the order-1 Markov Models for the monophonic case, but significantly better for the polyphonic case, especially for longer continuations

DISCUSSION

ALGORITHM

For: 1)

- Input, in (MIDI note number, MIDI channel, onset time) triples, lying within time interval $[p_{\text{start}}, p_{\text{end}}]$
- Desired continuation length c,
- Window length w < c,
- Split the prime into 2 parts:
 - *Fixed window*: all events in prime lying in the time interval [$p_{end} - w, p_{end}$]
- Sliding window: all events in prime lying in the time interval $[p_{start}, p_{end} - w)$
- 3) Find the vector **v** such that translating the sliding window by **v** maximizes the number of coinciding points between the two windows
- Extract all points lying in the time interval 4) $[p_{end}, p_{end} + c]$ from the translated sliding window; this is the predicted continuation

prime: does that material occur previously?

- Look through prime for the **best match** to those last few beats and take whatever occurs after it
- Exceptions for degenerate cases (not enough notes in prime, no good match, desired continuation too long)
- More complicated methods of choosing what part of the prime to copy **failed to**

yield consistently better results

- Predictions are usually either completely right or completely wrong
- We hypothesize an upper limit to how well a method like this can perform without

incorporating more sophisticated musical models

Through brute-force testing on the PPDD, the best value for w was found to be 8 quarter-note beats.

	Polyphonic			Monophonic		
Algorithm	Recall	Prec.	F1	Recall	Prec.	F1
CopyForward	0.451	0.463	0.445	0.496	0.503	0.493
"Cheating"	0.562	0.553	0.547	0.645	0.644	0.638

We compare this method to one that can "cheat" by always choosing the translation vector v that would give it the best predicted continuation.

Music Information Retrieval Evaluation eXchange (MIREX 2019) 20th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference Delft, Netherlands, November 4-8, 2019

Code and writeup available at github.com/timothydereuse/copy-forward